The importance of portion information from a consumer and health perspective

10 April 2012

Introduction

There are increasing concerns that larger portion sizes may encourage over-eating and contribute to the high obesity rates seen across developed countries. Furthermore, there appears to be confusion from the consumer perspective about how much of a product should be eaten, and the recommended daily portion size of many common foods, e.g. breakfast cereals, pasta, meat, fish and pulses. Several discrepancies have been noted between the advice given by food manufacturers, non-governmental organisations and healthcare professionals.

The inclusion of nutrition information on food labels has a long regulatory history. As far back as 1990, the Directive 90/496/EEC devised new regulations governing the voluntary inclusion of nutrition information on pre-packed foods. It was stated that nutrition information per 100 grams or 100 millilitres should be labelled, unless a nutrition or health claim was made on the package, in which case nutrition labelling became mandatory. In 2000, another EU Directive, 2000/13/EC, came into force to ensure that the consumer was provided with further information regarding a product’s net quantity, composition (i.e. list of ingredients), manufacture and advice on storage and preparation. Portion information on labels remained outside the scope of this legislation.

Faced with increasing concerns about rising obesity rates and obesity-related health problems, the European Commission in 2008 submitted the proposed ‘Regulation on the Provision of Food Information to Consumers’ to replace the previous two directives on food and nutrition labelling. The intention of this new regulation was to provide consumers with the necessary information to enable healthy choices, making food labelling clearer and more relevant to consumers. From this the European Parliament and Council in 2011 adopted a revised version of the European Commission proposal mandating pack labelling for energy, plus five nutrients i.e. protein, total fat, saturated fats, carbohydrates (with specific reference to sugars), and salt, expressed as amounts per 100 g or per 100 ml. Finally, where products were packed as individual units of consumption, the legislation specified that nutrition information should be provided per portion as well as per 100 g/100 ml, alongside an indication of the serving size and the number of servings contained in the package.

Consequently, until recently, the importance of portion information has been overlooked. From a labelling perspective, the provision of portion information is not yet as common as nutrition information, and there is a lack of evidence about how portion information might be used or interpreted by consumers. This article sets out to describe how European portion guidelines were established, before going on to describe the factors that may affect portion control and how these link with health. Relevant studies are reviewed and summarised. A further section looks at consumer understanding of portion control and considers the value of clear portion size information for children.
Development of portion guidance

National dietary guidelines across Europe tend to be based on an analysis of measured population food consumption, combined with scientific data regarding the general health of the population and the prevention of disease. Statistical methods may be used to create ideal food patterns or simulated diets for population sub-groups, e.g. elderly people or pregnant women. These data permit the estimation of gaps between nutrient requirements and actual intakes, and can be used to communicate guidelines in ways that consumers better understand, i.e. graphic depictions of food-based dietary guidelines.8-12

Most countries, including several in the European Union (EU), employ the pyramid design or an image of a plate divided into different sized sections.12,13 These indicate what proportion of meals (or the daily diet) should be devoted to different food groups, e.g. dairy, fruits/vegetable, protein foods. Countries using these methods include Sweden, the UK, and more recently the US.12,14 Such dietary recommendations are often based on proportions of total food or energy intakes, not on specific quantities, making them suitable for healthy people with a wide range of calorie requirements.

Some national guidelines give further advice on the number of ‘servings’ of different food groups which should be consumed daily, particularly for separate genders or different age-groups. Thus, the Irish Food Pyramid recommends two daily servings from the meat, fish, eggs, beans and peas group, or three servings during pregnancy.12 The measure of each serving is not often defined. One serving from the fruit and vegetable group could be one medium size fruit or 80 g (or 3 heaped table spoons) of cooked vegetables, while one serving from the grain food group may be one slice of bread.15 In the UK, portion size recommendations have only been widely publicised for fruit and vegetables, i.e. 5 portions of 80 g or equivalent daily.16

The food and beverage industry uses the terms “serving” and “portion” on food labels to help guide consumers. In the EU, there are a number of industry associations which have developed common portioning guidelines among their members in order to facilitate the understanding of nutrition labels for consumers.8,13,17-20 As well as being based on nutrition-related criteria, there are several good reasons for choosing different portion sizes for different products. For example, the choice of portion sizes for soups is based on history of use, recognised reference quantities (found in national guidelines), eating patterns, consumption occasions, current product offerings on the market, and the type of soup selected. The standard portion size for soup is generally set at 250 ml. However, if the pack contains 570 ml, the portion size may be defined as 285 ml, creating two portions per pack.17,19

Have portion sizes increased?

There is good evidence that portions of certain foods have increased over time. A study in the Netherlands used manufacturers’ product information to establish portion sizes and numbers of servings for several energy dense products, e.g. confectionery, savoury snacks, and fast food.21 These were compared with data from the previous decade, with three trends becoming apparent. First, larger pack sizes were added to product portfolio, especially for family products. Secondly, portion sizes for a number of products, except single serve confectionery, increased steadily over time. Thirdly, multi-packs were introduced and,
over time, began to include a higher number of items within the pack.

In the UK, the Food Standards Agency commissioned a review of portion sizes but found some contradictory trends.\(^{22}\) Standard-sized products from key brands were seen to dominate the food market and these had remained a constant size. However, individual ready meals, white sliced bread and some items of fast food had all seen increases in portion sizes. While smaller pack sizes had become available for confectionery and soft drinks, these tended only to be found within multi-packs, and only in selected outlets. A new concept of large share packs had emerged in recent years for energy dense foods, such as muffins, crisps, ice cream bars and chocolate confectionery but it was not clear when these had become available. Overall, some concerns were noted about increasing portion sizes, particularly for less healthy foods.

Another UK study compared portion sizes of snacks in the UK and Northern Ireland over an 8-year period using national dietary data on adolescents.\(^{23}\) Carbonated and soft drinks were the most popular snack choices at both time-points. However, the portion size consumed and the frequency of consumption were significantly higher among the adolescents in 2005 compared with those in 1997.

In the US, researchers monitored portion sizes consumed by over 63,000 individuals aged >2 years, as reported in consecutive national dietary surveys over a 20 year period.\(^{24}\) Attention focussed on savoury snacks, soft drinks, fruit drinks, desserts and fast foods, with data also collected on whether the foods were eaten at home or in a restaurant. The results showed that portion sizes in all food categories except pizza increased significantly between 1977 and 1996, regardless of where the food was eaten. However, fast food restaurants served the largest portions.

These apparent trends towards larger portion sizes, particularly for some energy dense foods, are likely to impact on food consumption and energy intake, with consequences for weight control. In the US research reported above, the calorie impact of increasing portion sizes over time represented an additional 96 kcal per serving for salty snacks, an additional 49 kcal for soft drinks, and an extra 68-97 kcal per serving for fast foods.\(^{24}\)

**Influencing portion control**

Apart from manufacturers’ pack size or serving advice, there are several other factors that influence the portion control exerted by consumers. A summary of key studies published in the last 10 years is given in Table 1.

**Table 1 Factors affecting portion control (key studies)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Methods</th>
<th>Key findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hermans et al. (2012)(^{33})</td>
<td>The Netherlands</td>
<td>Young women (n=85).</td>
<td>Portion size was manipulated during a 20 min eating opportunity.</td>
<td>Girls ate more when offered a large vs small portion. They ate more when companions ate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Participants</td>
<td>Design</td>
<td>Result</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vermeer et al. (2011, 2012)²⁸,²⁹</td>
<td>The Netherlands</td>
<td>17 intervention and 8 control cafeterias</td>
<td>Interviews and questionnaires in relation to portion size.</td>
<td>Offering smaller portion sizes and proportional pricing led to promising results. More work is needed to motivate workers, however, to opt for smaller portions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brogden &amp; Almiron-Roig (2010)²⁶</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td>Healthy men (n=27)</td>
<td>Repeat RCOT. Participants ranked the liking, familiarity and expected satiation after eating breakfast and an overnight fast (each repeated twice).</td>
<td>Foods with lower expected satiation led to higher portion size estimates.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brogden &amp; Almiron-Roig (2011)²⁷</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td>Healthy men (n=27)</td>
<td>Repeat RCOT. Participants ranked the portions of 8 foods and beverages after eating breakfast and an overnight fast (each repeated twice).</td>
<td>Portion size estimates were significantly smaller when men were hungry versus full.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vermeer et al. (2010a)³⁰</td>
<td>The Netherlands</td>
<td>Fast food restaurant (n=150), workshop cafeteria (n=141).</td>
<td>Experimental design where products were given proportional pricing.</td>
<td>When faced with proportional pricing consumers who have overweight or obesity were more likely to opt for smaller portion of fast foods and soft drinks.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vermeer et al. (2009)³²</td>
<td>The Netherlands</td>
<td>n=22 representatives at point-of-purchase</td>
<td>Semi-structured interviews about portion size.</td>
<td>Participants reported that offering a variety of portion sizes was more...</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
One factor is perceived hunger when purchasing foods. In a randomised crossover trial on 27 healthy males, researchers investigated the impact of hunger on portion size estimates. When the men were hungry (fasted) versus full (after eating breakfast), they were more likely to under-estimate portion sizes. In contrast, portion sizes were over-estimated when foods were anticipated as less filling.\textsuperscript{26,27}

Proportional pricing, i.e. offering smaller portions at a lower price, is another factor that could affect portion control in theory, although the practical benefits have been difficult to prove due to a lack of consumer acceptance. Several studies in the Netherlands have examined the impact of offering smaller portion sizes as an option in fast food restaurants, workplace canteens and cinemas, as well as applying proportional pricing.\textsuperscript{28-31} Except for a sub-set of participants with overweight in one of the studies,
participants in general did not select smaller portion sizes when given the opportunity to downsize for a lower price. However, the concept was seen as easy to implement by catering managers and could become useful to consumers if more were done to highlight the benefits.  

Finally, although there are only a few studies at present, other factors such as the presence of other companions, low fat content of the food and Afro-Caribbean ethnicity may also mean that people are likely to opt for larger portions.  

Qualitative research has revealed that consumers have difficulties self-regulating portion sizes and would like to see greater choice of portion sizes, pricing strategies and serving-size labelling. However, consumers seem to respond differently in practice to interventions around portion size, price or labelling. In one study, participants attending the cinema (n=101) were asked to select one of five different portion sizes of a soft drink. These were either labelled with portion size and Guideline Daily Amounts per serving (intervention), or only millilitre information (control). Neither labelling approach led to participants choosing smaller portion sizes. A second study investigated the effect of portion labelling font size on consumers’ choices. In this experimental study, participants’ soft drink choices were analysed after they were provided with different label formats. The results showed that participants were more likely to choose soft drinks labelled with portion sizes in small fonts. The combined effects of portion size labelling and pricing strategies now need to be investigated.

Similarly, a US study found that participants exposed to a large portion of salty snacks labelled as “small” consumed significantly more of that portion than those who were informed correctly about the portion size. The opposite happened when small portions were labelled as “large”, yet the effect was less pronounced. Notably, participants who believed they were being served a small portion more strongly underestimated their intake than those in the reverse setting, who overestimated their intake.

These studies seem to indicate that consumers can be persuaded to eat more of certain products through labelling and pricing strategies, but are more difficult to influence in the opposite direction, despite expressing the view that they would welcome help with portion control.

Consumer understanding

A few studies have investigated how consumers use portion information on food labels. One of the largest and most comprehensive of these is the European Food Information Council (EUFIC) survey which recruited more than 13,000 consumers aged 18-65 years living in 6 different European countries, namely Germany, UK, Spain, France, Poland and Sweden.

One third of respondents reported that they looked regularly for portion information on food and drink labels, but used the price and use-by-date information more often (90% looked often or always for this). Interestingly, 23% consumers were unaware how portion sizes were set, especially in the UK where 44% did not know. However, consumers also reported that when portion information was present on the pack, in addition to 100 g/100 ml information, this helped them to establish the nutrition content of a portion more easily.
These are important findings and demonstrate a clear opportunity to educate consumers about the importance of portion information, although the challenge remains encouraging the consumers to use and act on this information. This may be particularly difficult in lower socio-economic groups where educational levels are poor. A German cohort study comprised of 1519 girls (11-14 years) found that socio-economic status, mothers’ level of education and parents’ migration background were some of the strongest risk factors for unfavourable eating patterns. These individuals, in particular, may have difficulties interpreting portion information.

**Portions for children**

Children under two years of age do not seem to be swayed by portion size, and the overall amount they eat tends to be dictated by their energy requirements. In general, infants who eat larger portions tend to eat less frequently during the day, adjusting their total intake to maintain a stable energy intake, observed over a 24 hour period. By the time children reach three years, they tend to be increasingly influenced by environmental cues in their eating habits. This can have the effect of promoting over-eating since the portion size offered by parents relates more to external cues, such as time of day and meal occasion, rather than the child’s actual energy needs. However, it has also been shown that young children who serve themselves tend to choose smaller portions, indicating that they retain some association between energy requirements and food choice.

Larger portion sizes have been shown to increase food consumption and energy intakes in children. In a study of 53 pre-school children, researchers tested the effects of portion size and energy density on food and energy intakes at a meal. Two portion sizes were offered, 250 g vs. 500 g, while energy density varied from 1.3 kcal per gram to 1.8 kcal per gram. The results showed that larger portion sizes, and more energy dense foods, increased food consumption at the meal, and more generally as over-consumption at the test meal was not compensated for later. When offered the larger, more energy dense foods, the children consumed 34% more energy at the test meal.

While very young children retain the ability to self-regulate food and energy intake, this is quickly lost as external cues, and parents’ expectations, begin to influence food choice and portion control. As childhood obesity remains a major issue in Europe, a better understanding of appropriate portion sizes for children would seem beneficial. There is some evidence that educating parents on appropriate portion sizes may help to improve weight management for parents affected by overweight as well as their children.

**Conclusions**

Portion control remains a key factor in the over-consumption of certain foods and drinks but, until recently, the importance of portion information on food labels has been overlooked in regulations. Factors affecting portion control are multifaceted, including appetite and hunger levels, the type of food consumed, the labelling format, ethnicity and presence of other diners, to name a few. Consumer understanding of portion sizes appears to be generally poor, with only one third of European consumers using portion information and around 40% remaining unsure how recommended portion sizes are established. Research to improve portion control, by providing more choice of portion sizes, improving labelling, and by
instigating pricing strategies, has given mixed results. The main barrier appears to be consumer acceptability of smaller portions. Given present concerns about obesity in Europe and evidence that portion sizes of energy dense foods are rising, targeting portion control remains an important public health issue.

Key Messages

- Portion sizes of certain foods are rising over time. This could impact on portion control, energy intake and obesity risk.
- Several factors affect portion control at an individual level, e.g. appetite, price, hunger, perceptions about the food, the presence of dining companions and the fat content of the food.
- Portion size information may help consumers interpret nutrition information more effectively but too few consumers use portion information regularly.
- Although consumers claim to want more portion information and help to control food intakes, in practice there is resistance to pricing strategies and portion manipulation. At present, evidence is limited that portion size interventions offer any benefit.
- Harmonization of portion information across Europe and food products may be helpful.
- Further work is needed to determine whether factors such as socio-economic status and culture may affect the use and/or understanding of portion information.
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